

FITCH RATES DALLAS COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, TEXAS' GOS AT 'AAA'; OUTLOOK STABLE

Fitch Ratings-Austin-30 May 2017: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AAA' rating to the following Dallas County Community College District (DCCCD), TX bonds:

--\$62.3 million general obligation (GO) refunding bonds, series 2017.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

SECURITY

The bonds are a direct obligation of the district, secured by an annual property tax levy limited to \$0.50 per \$100 of taxable assessed valuation (TAV) on all taxable property within the district.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

The 'AAA' rating reflects the district's significant independent ability to raise revenues, solid budgetary flexibility, ample reserve cushion, and limited historical revenue volatility. These provide the district with a high level of operating flexibility and anticipated financial resilience throughout the economic cycle. Fitch expects the long-term liability burden will remain moderate.

Economic Resource Base

Coterminous with Dallas County, the district serves a large and growing population base, currently estimated at 2.6 million. DCCCD is one of the largest community college systems in the state with numerous educational facilities throughout the county. The district derives support from state aid; however, revenues are primarily influenced by local trends, including enrollment and TAV. Enrollment typically runs counter-cyclical to local economic conditions, which adds a level of volatility to enrollment trends.

Revenue Framework: 'aaa' factor assessment

Fitch believes the district's natural revenue growth prospects are strong and will continue to equal or exceed U.S. GDP. The superior ability of the district to raise property tax and tuition/fee revenues in the event of normal cyclical decline supports the 'aaa' assessment.

Expenditure Framework: 'aa' factor assessment

The pace of spending growth should remain more or less aligned with revenues over time. Low carrying costs and the district's ability to adjust its labor costs, if needed, provide sound expenditure flexibility.

Long-Term Liability Burden: 'aa' factor assessment

The long-term liability burden is about 9% of local per capita personal income, and largely consists of overlapping debt. The assessment also considers the city of Dallas' unfunded pension liabilities on a resource base shared with the district. Fitch believes the burden will remain moderate given growth in liabilities should be offset by further population and income gains and the city's pension reform efforts.

Operating Performance: 'aaa' factor assessment

Fitch believes the district would maintain a high level of fundamental financial flexibility throughout the economic cycle given the various budgetary tools at its disposal. Modest expected revenue volatility as indicated in the Fitch Analytical Sensitivity Tool underpins the assessment.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Shift in Profile: While not anticipated, a reversal of long-term economic growth trends and/or any material deterioration of the district's budgetary tools could apply downward pressure to the rating.

CREDIT PROFILE

The district benefits from its central location within the broad and growing Dallas-Fort Worth metropolitan economy and population base. Dallas is the third largest city in Texas and is a center for technology, trade, finance and major medical centers; it also ranks as the top visitor and leisure destination in the state. Top employers in the education, government and health services sectors lend stability to the employment base. The area exhibited good resiliency during the national recession and shifted into economic expansion earlier than many other parts of the country, and county unemployment remains low.

The tax base is without concentration and top taxpayers represent a mix of utility, air transportation, real estate, manufacturing and retail industries. Growth in the district's tax base has slightly outpaced enrollment performance historically. TAV grew by nearly 3.5% annually on average in the 10-year period over fiscals 2006-2016 while full-time equivalent students (FTE) enrollment expanded by roughly 2% during the same period.

Additional moderate TAV growth appears likely given the healthy regional economy; a strong 10% TAV gain was realized in fiscal 2017. This is balanced against Fitch's expectation of relatively modest change to enrollment trends in the near to intermediate term. A 3% gain in student FTE students (totaling nearly 51,000 in fiscal 2016) reversed a trend of steady enrollment decline since fiscal 2011, and management indicates enrollment trends are again up modestly in fiscal 2017.

Revenue Framework

Property taxes (for both operations and debt service) provide the largest portion of the district's total revenues (about 45% of total revenues in fiscal 2016), while state aid and tuition/fees each contributed about 22%. District operations have become more dependent on the local tax base in the past decade. Increasing tax revenue for operations has served to offset a portion of the declines in enrollment-related revenues, such as federal (largely Pell grant) revenue, state appropriations, and tuition, as well as some recessionary cuts to state funding. Third-party funding support stems from the long-standing commitment of the state and U.S. government to fund higher education. Nonetheless, these revenue streams remain susceptible to changes in enrollment trends, education policy and eligibility requirements, and recessionary funding pressure.

Revenues should continue to grow naturally in line with historical performance that equaled or exceeded U.S. GDP. Average annual revenue growth of 4.7% in the 10-year period of fiscal 2006 - 2016 was well above U.S. GDP. This performance was due largely to the ability of district property taxes to capture solid TAV gains, but also a function of enrollment growth and additional enrollment-related revenues. Continued TAV and economic expansion in addition to some growth in student-related revenues should serve to offset an across-the-board, 4% state appropriation cut anticipated in the next biennium (fiscals 2018-2019).

The district's total tax rate is limited to \$1.00 per \$100 TAV according to state statute, of which no more than \$0.50 per \$100 TAV can be used for debt service. However, the district's operating property tax revenue is capped at a lower \$0.16 per \$100 TAV tax levy by locally voted limitation.

Moderate capacity exists under the local tax levy cap as the district presently levies slightly over \$0.10 per \$100 TAV or roughly 65% of its capacity. If a proposed tax rate results in an 8% year-over-year levy increase (based on the prior year's values), the rate increase may be subject to

election if petitioned by voters. The district also retains full ability to independently raise its tuition and fee charges without any legal limit.

Instruction, student services, and administrative expenses consumed about 64% of total spending in fiscal 2016. Fitch expects the natural pace of spending growth should remain more or less aligned to revenues over time based on the district's current expenditure trends.

The district maintains sound flexibility to adjust employee headcount and compensation in response to changing enrollment trends. The district has demonstrated its ability to control key expenditure items in times of fiscal stress without affecting its educational goals. This is tempered by the district's need to maintain a competitive salary structure in the Dallas-Fort Worth MSA employment base in order to recruit and retain highly educated professionals for instructional and leadership purposes. Nonetheless, management's legal control of labor costs and headcount remains strong.

Fixed carrying costs - the combination of total annual tax-supported debt service, the contractually required annual pension funding amount, and the annual spending for other post-employment benefits (OPEB), net of state support - are low and consumed about 8% of total operating/non-operating expenses in fiscal 2016. Looking ahead, Fitch expects these fixed costs will remain low as a result of the district's rapidly amortizing tax-supported debt service schedule as well as manageable retiree costs that are shared with the state. Fitch assumes a continuation of shared state and district funding for the employer pension and OPEB contributions.

Long-Term Liability Burden

The long-term liability burden is estimated at 9% of 2015 Dallas County personal income, derived largely from overlapping debt. Fitch expects this burden will likely increase over time, but remain moderate given growth in liabilities should be offset by further population and income gains in conjunction with the city's pension reform efforts underway. (For more information, see "Fitch: Dallas Pension Bill Holds Reform Potential," March 15, 2017, available on Fitch's website at www.fitchratings.com).

The district's debt is supported by a separate tax levy of up to \$0.50 per \$100 TAV, and the current rate of roughly \$0.02 provides significant taxing margin. Principal amortization of the district's tax-supported debt is rapid with 80% repaid in 10 years. Management presently anticipates funding its capital priorities, inclusive of expanding key, high-demand programs throughout its seven, separately accredited colleges, with the issuance of approximately \$200 million in self-supporting revenue debt, backed by pledged tuition/fees. The district does not have any revenue debt currently outstanding.

The district participates in the Texas Teachers Retirement System (TRS), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer plan for which the state provides roughly half of the community college's (employer) annual pension contribution. Recent reforms have lowered benefits and increased statutory contributions in order to improve plan sustainability over time.

Under GASB 67 and 68, the district reported its share of the TRS net pension liability (NPL) at \$66.4 million for fiscal 2016, with fiduciary assets covering approximately 78% of total pension liabilities at the plan's 8% investment rate assumption (approximately 71% based on a more conservative 7% investment rate assumption). The NPL remains small at less than 1% of personal income when adjusted for the 7% investment rate assumption.

Participants' required pension contributions are based on a statutory formula that consistently falls short of the actuarially-determined amount. Fitch therefore expects modest growth in the NPL even if investment returns meet assumed rates, although the overall long-term liability burden should remain within the current range given how small the pension liability is relative to overall

debt. In addition, the district and all Texas community colleges remain vulnerable to future policy and funding changes by the state. The district also provides OPEB through the state-run, post-employment benefit healthcare plan, the obligation for which is small at less than 1% of personal income.

Operating Performance

Fitch believes the district's financial resilience is superior and expects it will be maintained throughout the economic cycle due to budget flexibility, modest revenue volatility, and history of maintaining high levels of unrestricted cash/investments. Fitch uses this measure as a proxy for unrestricted general fund balance as the district's enterprise accounting is likely to lead to variability in net asset reporting due primarily to the impact of GASB 68.

The district's high level of fundamental financial flexibility is a result of the various budgetary tools at its disposal, which include revenue-raising authority, the ability to use its historically strong reserve cushion in excess of Fitch's calculated reserve safety margin, and solid expenditure flexibility.

A trend of solidly positive operating margins stemming from revenue growth and expenditure control underpins the district's historically strong financial position. The district continued this trend in fiscal 2016 with a strong 9% margin as operating performance improved upon budget. The district's operating cushion of unrestricted cash/investments totaled a high \$333.4 million or about 68% of spending at fiscal 2016 year-end, up by a modest \$8 million (1.5% of spending) from the prior year.

Unrestricted reserves have been maintained well above the minimum four months of spending, consistent with the board's established policy. Management expects to keep unrestricted reserves closer to five months of spending in order to prioritize pay-go capital spending (about \$86 million for the district's five-year facilities maintenance plan through fiscal 2017) rather than build higher levels of reserves.

The fiscal 2017 general unrestricted operating budget of approximately \$422 million is up about 6% from the prior year and structurally balanced. Underpinning the year's finances is growth in property tax revenue, additional student enrollment assumed, as well as salary increases and further pay-go capital spending, balanced against targeted cost savings.

Contact:

Primary Analyst
Rebecca Moses
Director
+1-512-215-3739
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
111 Congress Avenue
Austin, TX 78701

Secondary Analyst
Susan Carlson
Director
+1-312-368-2092

Committee Chairperson
Amy Laskey
Managing Director

Media Relations: Elizabeth Fogerty, New York, Tel: +1 (212) 908 0526, Email: elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis and InvestorTools.

Applicable Criteria

U.S. Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 18 Apr 2016)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/879478>

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001